Multimedia Appendix 4: Additional meta-analyses

Figure S1: Effect of eHealth versus no eHealth intervention on depression




Figure S2. Effect of eHealth versus no eHealth on depression: Sensitivity analysis: outlier excluded [CESD scale]




Figure S3. Effect of eHealth versus no eHealth on PTSD

	е	eHealth		Control				Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI				
Glass 2017	15.83	6.49	337	16.06	6.61	335	88.2%	-0.23 [-1.22, 0.76]					
Koziol-McLain 2018	39.48	17.07	175	38.35	16.28	167	6.9%	1.13 [-2.40, 4.66]					
Stevens 2015	36.8	18.4	129	36.5	15.6	124	4.9%	0.30 [-3.90, 4.50]	-				
Total (95% CI)			641			626	100.0%	-0.11 [-1.04, 0.82]	•				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:			-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours eHealth Favours control										

Figure S4: Effect of eHealth versus no eHealth on overall IPV: Subgroup analysis: low risk versus high risk of bias trials




Figure S5: Effect of eHealth versus no eHealth intervention on overall IPV: Subgroup analysis: type of scale

	eHealth			Control			Std. Mean Difference			Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Randon	n, 95% CI	
1.5.1 WEB												
Koziol-McLain 2018	36.04	16.97	173	36.64	16.41	184	21.4%	-0.04 [-0.24, 0.17]				
Glass 2017	38.98	16.96	337	39.33	16.88	335	40.4%	-0.02 [-0.17, 0.13]			_	
Subtotal (95% CI)			510			519	61.8%	-0.03 [-0.15, 0.10]		•	>	
Heterogeneity: Tau2 =	0.00; C	$hi^2 = 0$.01, df	= 1 (P =	0.91);	$I^2 = 0\%$	6					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.4	2 (P = 0)	0.68)									
1.5.2 CAS												
Zlotnick 2018	12.4	12.4	28	16.5	20.2	25	3.1%	-0.24 [-0.79, 0.30]		-		
Hegarty 2019	17.1	20.5	178	17	19.5	155	19.9%	0.00 [-0.21, 0.22]		-		
Stevens 2015	9.8	21.8	129	8.7	13.7	124	15.2%	0.06 [-0.19, 0.31]		-	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)			335			304	38.2%	0.01 [-0.15, 0.16]		•	>	
Heterogeneity: Tau2 =	0.00; C	$hi^2 = 1$.00, df	= 2 (P =	0.61);	$I^2 = 0\%$	6					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.0	8 (P = 0)	0.94)									
Total (95% CI)			845			823	100.0%	-0.01 [-0.11, 0.08]		•	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.00; C	$hi^2 = 1$.12, df	= 4 (P =	0.89);	$I^2 = 0\%$	6		<u> </u>	1 .	0,5	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.28$ ($P = 0.78$)									-1	-0.5 Ö Favours eHealth	0.5	1
Test for subgroup differences: $Chi^2 = 0.10$, $df = 1$ (P = 0.75), $I^2 = 0\%$										ravours enealth	ravours Control	

Figure S6: Effect of eHealth versus no eHealth intervention on overall IPV: Subgroup analysis: type of eHealth intervention

