CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be

- a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
- b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.

Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.

In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS, or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED). Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):

Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group

CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and Mobile Health Interventions

J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126

URL: http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/

doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923 PMID: 22209829

* Required

Your name *

First Last

Grašič Kuhar Cvetka

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slove

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

cgrasic@onko-i.si

Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

The Effect of Mobile Application for Symptom Management on Quality of Life During Systemic Treatment in Early Breast Cancer

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

mPRO Mamma mobile application

Evaluated Version (if any) e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"
Your answer
Language(s) * What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")
Slovenian, English
URL of your Intervention Website or App
e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.
https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id
URL of an image/screenshot (optional)
Your answer
Accessibility *
Can an enduser access the intervention presently? access is free and open
access only for special usergroups, not open
access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
app/intervention no longer accessible
Other:

)	CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form
e.ç	rimary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition * g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)" east cancer (patients on chemotherapy)
со	rimary Outcomes measured in trial * mma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial obal quality of life, summary score,
Ard	econdary/other outcomes e there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect? se of health resource
	ecommended "Dose" * nat do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used? Approximately Daily Approximately Weekly Approximately Monthly Approximately Yearly

"as needed"

Other:

Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *
unknown / not evaluated
0-10%
O 11-20%
21-30%
31-40%
O 41-50%
51-60%
O 61-70%
71%-80%
81-90%
91-100%
Other:
Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *
yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
on statistically significant difference between control and intervention
outcomes potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more
inconclusive: more research is needed
Other:

Article Preparation Status/Stage *							
At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)							
not submitted yet - in early draft status							
not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission							
submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet							
submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments							
submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet							
published							
Other:							
Journal *							
Journal * If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")							
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the							
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")							
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other") not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this							
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other") not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)							
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other") not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR) JMIR mHealth and UHealth							
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other") not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR) JMIR mHealth and UHealth JMIR Serious Games							
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other") onot submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR) JMIR mHealth and UHealth JMIR Serious Games JMIR Mental Health							
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other") onot submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR) JMIR mHealth and UHealth JMIR Serious Games JMIR Mental Health JMIR Public Health							
If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other") onot submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR) JMIR mHealth and UHealth JMIR Serious Games JMIR Mental Health JMIR Public Health JMIR Formative Research							

Pilot/feasibilityFully powered
Fully powered
Manuscript tracking number * If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR) on ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR Other: JMU ms#17408
TITLE AND ABSTRACT
1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title
 1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? * I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other") yes
Other: Patients were allocated into two cohort groups: a control (enrolled between De

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use "web-based" and/or "mobile" and/or "electronic game" in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like "online", "virtual", "interactive". Use "Internet-based" only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use "computer-based" or "electronic" only if offline products are used. Use "virtual" only in the context of "virtual reality" (3-D worlds). Use "online" only in the context of "online support groups". Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as "mobile" or "smart phone" instead of "iphone"), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important

)

 \bigcirc

0

()

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., "with telephone support").

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important

()

0

 \bigcirc

0

 \supset

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Non-web based components were equal in both groups (patients received the standard information about systemic therapy side effects)

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., "for children with Type I Diabetes") Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important

 \circ \circ \circ

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes: for patients during systemic treatment in early breast cancer

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important

 $\overline{}$

•

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The mobile group (n=46) was provided with a mobile application for Android smartphones and received the usual care, while the control group (n=45) received the usual care only. The mobile application supported daily tracking of 50 symptoms and grading their severity (mild, moderate or severe) along with in-depth descriptions and recommendations for patients depending on symptom grade.

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like "fully automated" vs. "therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted" (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patients in mobile group were asked to report symptoms via application. They received an access to the "mPRO Mamma" mobile application, accompanied with a detailed user instruction guide.

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use "blinded" or "unblinded" to indicated the level of blinding instead of "open", as "open" in web-based trials usually refers to "open access" (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patients were recruited offline. They were recruited after the first appointment with a medical oncologist. Outcomes were self-assessed through the paper and pencil questionnaires. Each patient received four sets of questionnaires on the day of inclusion in the study along with instructions to return them by post (at inclusion, after the first week, after the first cycle and at the end of treatment with systemic therapy).

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. The mobile group (n=46), the control group (n=45).

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

subitem not at all important

1		- 4
)		(







essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. We stressed in conclusion: we have not found a significant impact on the use of health resources

INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale

2a-i) Problem and the type of system/so

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

5

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. Our intervention was intended as an additional intervention to usual care program during the systemic therapy of breast cancer patients.

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropiate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

> 3 5

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. We pointed the rationale: "With the widespread use of smartphones, electronic collection of patient-reported outcomes is becoming possible. Several studies have shown the feasibility and clinical utility of electronic capture and monitoring of patient-reported outcomes for symptoms, functional status and quality of life during systemic treatment of cancer. It could yield reliable data and improve the quality of care for cancer patients. With the patients' increasing willingness to use modern technology there is a possibility for expansion of patient-centered medicine.

At present, only few applications are equipped with information for the self-management of symptoms. We compared quality of life to the mobile group (equipped with mobile application on smartphone) and control group (usual care only).

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. here is the part of Introduction: We aimed to assess if the use of our innovative mobile application would improve the health-related quality of life: global quality of life, functioning, symptoms and use of health resources. Our hypothesis was that the mobile application users would have better health-related quality of life outcomes, especially after the first week and after the first cycle of chemotherapy, and would use less health resources.

METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Our study is a cohort study. The allocation was 1:1.

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

First, it was planned as a randomized trial, but the mobile application was not finished until the beginning of the trial, and the time limit for the study was one year, according to competition rules at the Medical Faculty of Ljubljana. Therefore we run the study as a cohort study in two time-periods.

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. In methods, we said: Eligible for the study were patients with early breast cancer scheduled to receive neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy (and anti-HER2 targeted therapy if indicated), smartphone users who owned an Android smartphone, willing to fill in paper and pencil questionnaires reporting their QoL whilst receiving treatment.

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes: patient should be Android smartphone users

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely webbased trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

5

essential

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patients were recruited from a clinic. There is a statement in the methods: "Patients were recruited after the first appointment with a medical oncologist."

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

All study information was provided by medical oncologists, residents and/or medical students working on the project. All participants signed informed consent prior to being enrolled in the study.

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer



This is a required question

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

subitem not at all important









essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Outcomes in both groups were assessed by paper and pencil questionnaires, not by online questionnaires: the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30 (EORTC QLQ C-30), the Quality of Life Questionnaire Breast Cancer Module (EORTC QLQ BR-23) and the Use of Health Resources Questionnaire (number of visits to the doctor; i.e. general practitioner, emergency doctor or oncologist, and number of hospitalizations) at the preselected time points before and during systemic therapy (baseline, after the first week, after the first cycle and at the end of systemic therapy (EOT)). Each patient received four sets of questionnaires on the day of inclusion in the study along with instructions to return them by post timely appropriate. The patients who returned the baseline questionnaire and at least one more during the therapy were included in the analysis.

The mobile group reported symptoms, severity and duration of them once per cycle, but this outcome was not evaluated in the present study.

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention. (Not a required item - describe only if this may bias results)

5

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. Our study groups were not fully comparable at baseline. One source of bias could be different personal endeavor of medical oncologists to recruit patients for the study.

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a "Conflict of interest" section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

3

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. Authors and evaluators are owners or developer of the software. We mentioned it in the manuscript in the methods: "For the purpose of this study we developed an Android-based mobile application named "mPRO Mamma" for reporting symptoms and providing patients with comprehensive information on managing those symptoms." and "The application was designed and revised several times in cooperation of experienced medical oncologists, medical students and computer scientists."

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The application was designed and revised several times in cooperation of experienced medical oncologists, medical students and computer scientists."

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was "frozen" during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

> 3 5

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. Updates were performed due to Android sofware updates. No other (medically-relatd) updates were performed during study.

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Information is provided in manuscript: Each patient received four sets of questionnaires on the day of inclusion in the study along with instructions to return them by post timely appropriate. The patients who returned the baseline questionnaire and at least one more during the therapy were included in the analysis. We did not activelly call patients who did not returned questionnaired to did so.

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Here id video of the aplication: https://drive.google.com/open? id=1EgSOwCvPCbw6WyX1ufwuGIBbV8Pvazy_

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. It is cited: Kovač T. mPRO Mamma. Google Play Store: Google LLC; 2017 [cited 2019 October 18]; Available from: https://play.google.com/store/apps/details? id=com.kovac.timotej.breastcare&hl=sl.

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a "backdoor" login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patient get information about access if they were allocated to mobile group only. application is free of charge. It is at the moment only for breast cancer patients. In the manuscript: . "The mobile group received an access to the "mPRO Mamma" mobile application, accompanied with a detailed user instruction guide. Patients reported their symptoms in the application on a daily basis and sent the symptoms report to their treating oncologist as an encrypted email a day before the next therapy cycle (ie. every 2 or 3 weeks, depending on the chemotherapy schedule).

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1]," whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and - if computermediated communication is a component - whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	•	essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. It is described in methods section: "Among the 78 symptom items of PRO-CTCAE we selected 50 items relevant for expected adverse effects of cytotoxic regimens or targeted drugs applied to patients during (neo)adjuvant treatment of breast cancer. Each symptom had a description, severity scale and advice for symptom management. Patient labelled and reported symptom only in case it occurred. To make our application plain and useful for all socioeconomic groups, the symptom severity was graded on a 3-point scale, as mild, moderate or severe.

For each grade of symptom severity, a health professional's advice was presented. In case of mild or moderate symptoms, the patient received suggestions that would allow them to resolve the problem on their own. In case of a severe symptom, the patient was advised to go to either their general practitioner or seek treatment from an emergency centre." If patient reported severe symptom, it was advised to go to emergency or contact the oncologist. However, the number of medical oncology department of the hospital was available.

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. Patients in mobile group received the instructions: "In case of a severe symptom, the patient was advised to go to either their general practitioner or seek treatment from an emergency centre." "For each grade of symptom severity, a health professional's advice was presented. In case of mild or moderate symptoms, the patient received suggestions that would allow them to resolve the problem on their own. "

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as "type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered". It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 - generalizability).

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. Human involvement was at the beginning: participants in the mobile group were supported by residents or medical students to download the application and were instructed to use the application. Additionally, written instruction was given.

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 - generalizability).

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patients could alone set reminders. However, reminders from the application or from oncologists to patients to send reports were not available. It is the thing to be improved.

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, we did. Training sessions was provided by residents or medical students and support is also provided by developer (possibilita to contact him by e-mail).

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not use online questionnaires. We used paper and pencil questionnaires.

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

No. We used paper and pencil questionnaires. The manuscript: ... "willing to fill in paper and pencil questionnaires reporting their QoL whilst receiving treatment. Each patient received four sets of questionnaires on the day of inclusion in the study along with instructions to return them by post timely appropriate."

6a-ii) Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

We measured symptom severity and its duration. But it is not the scope of the present paper.

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

5

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Feedback on symptom severity was delivered via encripted e-mails to treating oncologist. In the manuscipt: "Patients reported their symptoms in the application on a daily basis and sent the symptoms report to their treating oncologist as an encrypted e-mail a day before the next therapy cycle (ie. every 2 or 3 weeks, depending on the chemotherapy schedule)."

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No.

7a) How sample size was determined

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

5

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. In the manuscript: "Sample size determination

We performed a power analysis to detect a difference between the groups in the change of the global QoL from the baseline measurement using a t-test for independent samples. With the planned sample size of 90 (45 per group) a t-test for two independent samples with the significance level of α=.05 achieves 80% power to reject the null hypothesis of equal means of groups when the population mean difference is equal to 13. The standard deviation of 22 was used for both groups based on the results from the recent randomised study performed by the TACT2 trial."

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

no.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. Allocation was timely based. in the manuscript: "a control (enrolled between December 2017 and March 2018) and a mobile group (enrolled between April and September 2018)."

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

we have no random allocation. The reason: the application was not ready for use at the beginning of the trial.

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

It is not applicable to our trial.

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

It is not applicable to our trial.

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

In web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants. However, the oucome was calculated based on paper questionnaires, which were in the same form in both groups.

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator"

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator".

1 2 3 4

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator". It was declaired in informed consent. First part of study was on comparatore group only and second part was on intervention of interest only.

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No. It is not relevant for our study.

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. In Methods: "The patients who returned the baseline questionnaire and at least one more during the therapy were included in the analysis." 46 of 55 allocated patients in mobile group and 45 of 49 in control group.

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

3

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The patients who returned the baseline questionnaire and at least one more during the therapy were included in the analysis.

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. We perorm exploratory analysis: "In the exploratory analysis, we examined all other scales of the QLQ-C30 and the QLQ-BR23, excluding Sexual enjoyment, Upset by hair loss (both depend upon answering affirmative to other questions), and Financial difficulties. For each of the 19 scales, we compared the change from the baseline between the groups at all three time points separately using the Mann-Whitney U test due to the asymmetric shape of distributions or the low number of possible values of the scale. All P-values in the exploratory analysis serve only as an aid to evaluate the differences between the groups. Nevertheless, with so many hypotheses tested, the P-values were adjusted using Holm's method to control the family wise error rate, presenting the ability to infer to the population."

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval						
	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	•	essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The study protocol was approved by the local and state ethics committees (0120-386/2017/3) and registered at the EUDRACT (protocol number 2018-001869-16)."

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Consent was obtained by personal contact. Patients had consent form in paper.

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Patients were asked to agree with mobile phone application before use. All reports were secured. The oncologist could open the e-mail with the password. "Patients reported their symptoms in the application on a daily basis and sent the report of the symptoms to their treating oncologist as an encrypted e-mail."

RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. It is in CONSORT diagram.

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. We stated it in CONSORT diagram for both groups.

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Mobile group: 55 allocated; 46 analysed. The end of tretment questionnaire sent 24 patients. Control group: 49 allocated, analysed 45. The end of treatment guestiomnnaire sent 36 patients.

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes.: ??a control (enrolled between December 2017 and March 2018) and a mobile group (enrolled between April and September 2018)".

14a-i) Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period

Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or "changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources"

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No.

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to our trial.

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes - Table 1.

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We use only age. The entry criterion was that patient is familiar with the use of smart phone.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions

Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) "across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds" [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants "used" the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define "use" of the intervention.

5

subitem not at all important





essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. It is visible from our CONSORT diagram. 4 questionnaires should be sent. The numbers are as follows: Mobile group 55/51/44/24. Control group 49/47/43/36.

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only "users", with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

subitem not at all important









Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Because we studied changes of the quality of life, we consireded in analysis patients that sent at least baseline and one of 3 other questionnaires. Therefore we analysed 46 patients in mobele and 45 patients in control group.

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. "Categorical variables were summarised with frequencies and percentages, numerical variables with means and standard deviations (SD), or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) in case of asymmetric shape of distributions. To highlight the differences between the groups at baseline, we used the chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test (if more than 20% of expected frequencies were below 5) for categorical variables, and independent samples ttest or Mann-Whitney U test (in the case of asymmetric shape of distributions) for numerical variables.

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

subitem not at all important	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	essential

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Your answer

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. for binary outcomes (usage of healtha resorces) presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes was used.

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes: "Despite allocation into two successive cohort groups, there were differences between the groups in type of surgery (higher proportion of mastectomies and consequently reconstruction in control group), as well as in QoL and SS at baseline. The primary outcomes global QoL and SS were analyzed using the linear mixed-effects models 26. This has enabled one model for all three-time points and adjusting for covariates at baseline, where a random intercept by patient ID was used to account for repeated measurements of the same patient. In each model, the fixed effects were time (after the first week/after the first cycle/at the EOT), group (mobile/control), the interaction between group and time, value at baseline, and type of surgery (breast-conserving surgery/mastectomy). The last two were included to account for the differences between groups found at the baseline."

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No, we did not perform subgroup analysis of only users of application.

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group

(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

It did not have. Technical problems were solved by e-mailing to designer of the application.

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No. We did not measure patient satisfaction yet.

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study q	uestions and sur	mmarize the a	answers sugge	sted by the data,
starting with primary	outcomes and	process outco	omes (use)	

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. In Discussion section: "Our first hypothesis was confirmed. After first week of treatment, the global QoL was statistically significantly better in mobile group than in control group with clinically important difference on our sample. ... We found statistically significant differences between the groups in summary score after the first week and at the EOT, the later one with clinically important difference on our sample. ... Our second hypothesis was not confirmed. In the use of health resources at the end of first week and the first cycle, we did not find statistically significant differences between the groups."

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. Future research: "Based on the positive results on the HRQoL measures in the systemic therapy part of breast cancer treatment we plan to expand our mobile application for the surgical and radiotherapy part and for the follow-up period. We are also aware that periodic application updates should be provided in order to ensure software compatibility and avoid security issues. Since our application is probably interventional only for mild and moderate symptoms, as patients could perform self-management, we plan to make application useful also for patients with severe symptoms. Patient alerts could be sent to a dedicated hospital server. In case the alert contained severe symptoms, it would be labeled as urgent and an appointed study nurse would be put in charge to react according to pre-specified medical algorithms."

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

	ı	Z	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	0	0	0	0	O	essential

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes: "There are several limitations to our study. Firstly, it is a cohort study. In order to avoid possible bias it would be better to perform a randomized trial. It actually turned out that groups were different regarding type of breast surgery, and OoL and summary score at baseline, so results were adjusted for these differences at baseline by using appropriate statistical methods. The reason could be a different season or even bias due to different personal endeavor of medical oncologists to recruit patients for study.". .."our application includes roughly 50 of 78 recommended symptoms of PRO-CTCAE, so instructions for patient self-management are lacking for the missing symptoms (not included in the application)."

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

3

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes: "Findings of our study about the impact of the use of the mobile application on the QoL and SS, together with some other scales that were only a part of our exploratory analysis, should be confirmed in a larger prospective randomised study. Then they could be generalized to the general patient population, including the applicability of the study results for other organizations."

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No. This procedure did not affect clinitiants wit additional work, expect from e-mail reports.

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. EUDRACT protocol number 2018-001869-16.

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

https://www.onko-

i.si/fileadmin/onko/datoteke/Dejavnosti/Raziskovalna_in_izobrazevalna_dejavnost/Klinicne _studije/MOBILNA_APLIKACIJA.pdf

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes: "This research was partly supported by the Slovenian Research Agency (Prognostic and predictive factors for response in treatment of breast cancer and other cancers, P3-0321)."

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Some people of medical study team of the application evaluators are identical with the developers of the intervention. "The application was designed and revised several times in the cooperation of experienced medical oncologists, medical students, and computer scientists."

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist

As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *
yes, major changes
yes, minor changes
O no

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

attrition process

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *

5 hours.

As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *
yes
O no
Other:
Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group? This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document
yes
O no
Other:
Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH
Your answer
STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit
To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.
When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.
Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!
Final step: Click submit!
Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

Submit

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy

Google Forms